arXiv:1703.01502v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 4 Mar 2017

Dynamics of domain walls in weak ferromagnets

Zvezdin, A.K.[1]

[1] *Prokhorov General Physics Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow 119991*

Pis′ma Zh. Exp. Teor. Fiz. **29**, No. 10, 605-610 (20 May 1979)

It is shown that the total set of equations, which determines the dynamics of the domain bounds (DB) in a weak ferromagnet, has the same type of specific solution as the well-known Walkers solution for ferromagnets. We calculated the functional dependence of the velocity of the DB on the magnetic field, which is described by the obtained solution. This function has a maximum at a finite field and a section of the negative differential mobility of the DB. According to the calculation, the maximum velocity $c \approx 2 \times 10^6$ cm/sec in $YFeO_3$ is reached at $H_m \approx 4 \times 10^3$ Oe.

PACS numbers: 75.60.Ch

I.

The Landau-Lifshitz equations for a double sublattice weak ferromagnet can be represented in the form[1]

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\boldsymbol{M}}_i &= \gamma \left[\boldsymbol{M}_i, \frac{\delta W}{\delta \boldsymbol{M}_i} \right] + \alpha \left[\boldsymbol{M}_i, \dot{\boldsymbol{M}}_i \right], \ i = 1, 2 \\ W &= \frac{a}{2} m^2 + \frac{b_1}{2} l_x^2 + \frac{b_3}{2} l_z^2 + d_1 m_z l_x - d_3 m_x l_z - \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{H} + A \left(\nabla \boldsymbol{l} \right)^2 + A' \left(\nabla \boldsymbol{m} \right)^2 \\ \boldsymbol{m} &= \frac{\boldsymbol{M}_1 + \boldsymbol{M}_2}{2M}, \ \boldsymbol{l} = \frac{\boldsymbol{M}_1 - \boldsymbol{M}_2}{2M}, \ \frac{\delta}{\delta q} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial q} - \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial \nabla q} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

To describe the dynamics of the domain bound, let us go over to the angular variables θ, ϕ, ϵ, and β in which ($\epsilon \ll 1$, $\beta \ll 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} l_x = \sin\theta \cos\phi, \ l_y = \sin\theta \sin\phi, \ l_z = \cos\phi, \ m_z = -\epsilon \sin\theta \\ m_x = \epsilon \cos\theta \cos\phi - \beta \sin\theta \sin\phi, \ m_y = \epsilon \cos\beta \sin\phi + \beta \sin\theta \cos\phi. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

To write Eqs. (1) we use the Lagrange formalism in the variables θ, ϕ, ϵ, and β. The Lagrange function L, the dissipative function F, and the corresponding Euler equations are

$$L = \frac{M}{\gamma} \left[\dot{\phi} \epsilon \sin\theta - \dot{\beta} \cos\theta \right] - W(\theta, \psi, \epsilon, \beta) \tag{3}$$

$$F = \frac{\alpha M}{2\gamma} \left[\dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2\theta \left(\dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\beta}^2 \right) + \dot{\epsilon}^2 + 4\epsilon \sin\theta \cos\theta \dot{\phi} \dot{\beta} \right] \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = \frac{\delta L}{\delta \theta} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{\theta}}, \text{ etc.} \tag{5}$$

II.

At $H = (0, 0, H)$ we have a specific solution of the nonlinear equations (5)[2,3] : $\theta = \pi/2$, $\beta = 0$, $\phi(r,t)$, and $\epsilon(r,t)$. As a result of substituting this solution in Eqs. (5), two of the equations (obtained by varying θ and β) become identities and the other two have the form

$$\dot{\epsilon} + \alpha\dot{\phi} = \tfrac{c^2}{\omega_E}\nabla^2\phi + \omega_1 \sin\phi\cos\phi - \omega_d\epsilon\sin\phi, \tag{6a}$$

$$\alpha\dot{\epsilon} - \dot{\phi} = \tfrac{c'^2}{\omega_E}\nabla^2\epsilon - \omega_E\epsilon + \omega_d\cos\phi - \omega_H \tag{6b}$$

where

$$\omega_1 = \frac{\gamma b_1}{M},\ \omega_d = \frac{\gamma d_1}{M} \equiv \gamma H_d,\ \omega_H = \gamma H,$$
$$\omega_E = \frac{\gamma a}{M} \equiv 2\gamma H_E,\ c^2 = 4\gamma^2 A H_E/M,\ c'^2 = 4\gamma^2 A' H_E/M$$

First, let us determine the approximate solution of Eqs. (6a) and (6b). In Eq. (6b) the terms $\left(c'^2/\omega_E\right)\nabla^2\epsilon$ and $\alpha\dot{\epsilon}$ can be deleted in comparison to ω_E. The parameters of smallness of the deleted terms are $(a_0/\Delta)^2$ and $(\alpha a_0/\Delta)^2$, where $a_0\,(c/\omega_E) = (2A/a)^{1/2} = 10^{-8}$ cm and Δ is the thickness of the moving domain bound. Thus, we have from Eq. (6b)

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{\omega_E}\left(-\omega_d\cos\phi + \omega_H + \dot{\phi}\right) \tag{7}$$

Substituting it in Eq. (6a), we obtain

$$\ddot{\phi} - c^2\nabla^2\phi + \omega_A^2\sin\phi\cos\phi = \dot{\omega}_H - \omega_d\omega_H\sin\phi - \alpha\omega_E\dot{\phi} \tag{8}$$

where $\omega_A^2 = \omega_d^2 - \omega_E\omega_1$. At $H = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$ this equation becomes the well-known Sine-Gordon equation. Its one-dimensional solution, which satisfies the boundary con- ditions $\phi(x \to -\infty) = 0$, $\phi(x \to +\infty) = \pi$, has the form

$$\phi(x,t) = 2\arctan e^{\frac{x-vt}{\Delta}},\ \Delta^{-1} = \frac{\omega_A/c}{\sqrt{1-(v/c)^2}}, \tag{9}$$

where $v < c$. This function satisfies Eq. (8) at $H = const \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, but for a specific value of $v(H)$, satisfies the equation, $\omega_d\omega_H = \alpha\omega_E\delta^{-1}v$, which can be easily verified by substituting Eq. (9) in Eq. (8). From the last equation using Eq. (9) we obtain[4,5]:

$$v(H) = c\frac{HH_d}{a}\left[4H_E^2H_A^2 + a^{-2}H^2H_d^2\right]^{-1/2}. \tag{10}$$

The physical nature of such a dependence $v(H)$ can be described if we use the mechanical analogy of the motion of DB. If the dependence of H and v on t is sufficiently small (the characterisitic frequencies of their variation are much smaller than ω_d), we can obtain from Eq. (8) the following equation for the velocity of DB

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(mv) + \frac{(mv)}{r} = 2M_sH, \tag{11}$$

where

$$m = \frac{2M_s}{H_d\gamma^2\Delta(v)} = \frac{m_0}{\sqrt{1-(v/c)^2}},\ \tau = \frac{1}{\alpha\omega_E}.$$

All the terms in Eq. (11) have a clear mechanical meaning; mv/τ is the frictional force acting on the domain bounds, $2M_sH$ is the pressure exerted on the domain bounds, etc. At $(d/dt)(mv) = 0$ Eq. (11) gives Eq. (10). Thus, the velocity of the domain bounds saturates as $H \to \infty$ because of the relativistic dependence of the mass m of the DB on its velocity. Chetkin et al.[6,7] observed experimentally and investigated the effect of saturation of the velocity of DB in $YFeO_3$; they[7] as well as Baryakhtar et al.[8] theoretically estimated the limiting velocity of the DB in orthoferrites.

III.

At $v \sim c$ the deleted terms in Eq. (6b) should be taken into account. Let us analyze asymptotically Eqs. (6a) and (6b) by the method proposed and developed in Refs. [9] and [10]. Let us linearize Eqs. (6a) and (6b) near the stationary points $\phi = O$ and π, which correspond to the domains, and let us find solutions of the linear equations in the form $\exp[\pm(\omega_E/c)k(x - v_{\mp}t)]$ at $(x - v_{\mp}t) \to \mp\infty$. The conditions for the existence of nontrivial solutions have the form

$$\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\left(1+a^2\right) - \frac{av}{c}k\left[2 - k^{-2}\left(1 + \frac{\omega_A^2}{\omega_E^2} \mp \frac{\omega_H\omega_d}{\omega_E^2}\right)\right] - 1 + k^2 - \left(1-k^{-2}\right)\left(\frac{\omega_A^2}{\omega_E^2} \mp \frac{\omega_H\omega_d}{\omega_E^2}\right) = 0. \tag{12}$$

Let us assume that there is a solution of the nonlinear equations (6a) and (6b) in the form $\phi(x - vt)$, $\epsilon(x - vt)$ and that the function $\phi(x - vt)$ is symmetric; thus the equality $v_+(k) = v_-(-k) = v$, where $v_+(k)$ and $v_-(k)$ are determined by Eq. (12), gives (in the linear approximation of α and H/H_E):

$$v = c\left(1 + p - pk^{-2} - k^2\right)^{1/2}, \tag{13a}$$

$$H = H_{MC}k\left(1 - pk^{-2}\right)^{1/2}\left(1 - k^2\right)^{-1/2}\left(1 + p - 2k^2\right), \tag{13b}$$

where

$$H_{MC} = a\frac{4H_E^2}{H_d},\ p = \left(\frac{\omega_A}{\omega_E}\right)^2.$$

These equations determine the function $v(H)$ in the parametric form. The characteristic shape of this curve is given in Fig. 1. The maximum of this curve, which has the coordinates[11]: $v_m = c(1 - \sqrt{p})$, $H_m = H_{MC}p^{1/4}\left(1 - \sqrt{p}\right)^2$, corresponds to $k_m = p^{1/2}(p \ll 1)$ and the point $H = 0$, $v = 0$ corresponds to $k_m = p^{1/6}(p \ll 1)$. The quantity $k_m/k_0 \approx p^{1/4}$ characterizes the thickness ratio of the DB at $v = v_m$ and $v = 0$. The function (13) coincides with Eq. (10) at $k_0 < k < k_m$ or at $0 < H < H_m$. The last inequality is the condition of applicability of Eqs. (8) and (10). The motion of the DB, in which $\boldsymbol{l}$ rotates in the ac plane, is determined by more complicated equations than (6a) and (6b), but the function $v(H)$, which is determined by Eqs. (10), (13a), and (13b), remains valid in this case (if $d_1 = -d_3$). In them it must be assumed that $\omega_a = (b_1 - b_3)/M$.



FIG. 1. A plot of the $v(H)$ function constructed according to Eq. (13) at $p = 10^4$, a part of the $v(H)$ curve $[(H/H_{MC}) > 0.2]$ requires further study since here the condition $\epsilon \ll 1$ is violated.

IV.

We give the numerical estimates. In $YFeO_3$ $A \approx 4 \times 10^{-7}$ erg/cm, $H_E = 6.4 \times 10^6$ Oe, $H_d = 10^5$ Oe, and $p \approx 10^{-4}$. The scale of the field H MC can be expressed in terms of the mobility μ when $H \to 0$: $\mu = (c/H_{MC})\, p^{1/2}$. Hence, $H_{MC} = \left(c\sqrt{p}/\mu\right)$. According to Ref. [12], $\mu \simeq 5 \times 10^3$ cm/sec·Oe. Using these values, we obtain $c \approx 2 \times 10^6$ cm/sec, $H_{MC} \approx 4 \times 10^4$ Oe, $V_m = 0.99$ s, and $H_m \approx 4 \times 10^3$ Oe.

---

[1] For definiteness, we examine a crystal of rhombic symmetry.
[2] L. Walker and J. Dillon, Magnetism **3**, 450 (1963).
[3] It has the same meaning as the well-known Walkers solution[2] for ferromagnets, although its equations are more complex.
[4] E. Gyorgy and F. Hagedorn, Journal of Applied Physics **39**, 88 (1968).
[5] A similar dependence $v(H)$ was obtained in Ref. [4], where the authors assumed that the dynamics of the weak ferromagnetic moment are described by the same equations as the dynamics of the ferromagnet and the magnetization remains constant during the motion of the domain bounds.
[6] M. Chetkin, A. Shalygin, and A. Kampa, Fizika Tverdogo Tela **19**, 3470 (1977).
[7] M. Chetkin and A. de La Campa, JETP Letters **27**, 157 (1978).
[8] V. Baryakhtar, B. Ivanov, and A. Sukstanskii, Fizika Tverdogo Tela **20**, 2177 (1978).
[9] E. Schlömann, Applied Physics Letters **19**, 274 (1971).
[10] V. Eleonskiy and N. Kirova, Problems of Solid State Physics UNTs , 184 (1975).
[11] This velocity coincides with that obtained in Ref. [8].
[12] R. Uait, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **103**, 593 (1971).